

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/903,131	NEE, WRIGHT J.	
	Examiner Harry S. Hong	Art Unit 2614	
All Participants: (1) <u>Harry S. Hong</u> . (2) <u>James R. Nock (Reg. No. 42,937)</u> .	Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
Date of Interview: <u>23 April 2009</u>	Time: <u>4:00 PM</u>		
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: .			
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: <i>potential 35 U.S.C. 101</i>			
Claims discussed: 35			
Prior art documents discussed: N/A			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>Mr. Nock agreed to clarify the language of claim 35 in order to preempt any potential non-statutory issues. Refer to the attached Examiner's Amendment.</i>			
Part III. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			